## Approved For Release 2000/08/23: CHA-RDF p2S00231A000100060050-3

Chief, Intelligence Information Staff, CRR

24 March 1956

THRU : Chief, Industrial Division, ORR

Chief, Military Economics Branch,  $\mathrm{D}/\mathrm{I}$ 

Request for Information from US Embassy, Warsaw

REF

: US Embassy, Warsaw, Foreig: Service Despatch 295, Weekly Economic Report No. 4 (21-27 Jan), 28 Jan 58, Limited Official Use, and DA Intelligence Report, Prland, R-16-58, 24 Jan 58, Confident al

- 1. In comment, on page 2 of the referenced Foreign Service despatch, it was stated that the explicit budgetary allocation for the Polish military establishment must fall short of covering total expenditures for their armed forces. It was suggested that this explicit allocation may be for "housekeeping" expenses and that the allocation to the national economy includes outlays for military production and associated activities. Comment in the referenced DA Intelligence Report is that the explicit allocation "probably represents expend tures for maintenance only while investments for equipment and other major expenditures are probably under the heading National Economy (Capital Investments)."
- 2. It would be appreciated if the basis for these comment: could be made available to us in as much detail as possible. Fa are interested in both aspects of the problem: that is, any evidence that the explicit military allocation is a "housekeeping" or maintenance budget and the availability and specific purpose: (e.g., procurement, investment in the armments industries, research and development) of funds from other budgetary account (or other sources) that are used for military programs and activities.

25X1A9a

Distribution:

Original & 1 - Addressee

1 - D/I25X1A9a<sub>⊥/№</sub>

RR/I/ME:

:edh/3803(24 Mar 58)